Trump's Tariffs and Their Global Economic Impact

OK. Mohammad Fajar Ikhsan, B.A. (Hons), M.Sc., Ph.D.

The imposition of tariffs by former U.S. President Donald Trump on Mexico, Canada, and China has had widespread consequences for the global economy. These tariffs, which form part of Trump's broader economic strategy, reflect a historical trend of protectionism in U.S. trade policy. This essay explores the implications of these tariffs for the global economy, particularly focusing on macroeconomic impacts, financial markets, and the Southeast Asian region, including Malaysia. Furthermore, it assesses the potential benefits of a global trade war to the United States, especially in line with Trump's "America First" agenda, and discusses the long-term effects on global supply chains.

Protectionist policies and tariff impositions are not new in the United States. Historically, from the 18th century through the 19th century, protectionism was a cornerstone of U.S. trade policy. For instance, in 1828, tariffs on imports reached as high as 45-48%. In the late 19th century, tariffs surged again, peaking at 57% in 1898. By the early 20th century, the U.S. shifted towards a more open economic policy, championing free trade through agreements such as the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and later the World Trade Organization (WTO). However, under President Trump, the pendulum swung back towards protectionism, with tariffs on China, Mexico, and Canada reaching unprecedented levels, including a 25% tariff on steel and 10% on aluminum in 2018.

The imposition of tariffs by the U.S. under President Trump's administration had far-reaching consequences on the global economy, particularly through inflationary pressures. As tariffs raised the cost of imported goods, the U.S. experienced increased prices on various products, which, in turn, reduced domestic purchasing power. This inflationary effect was not limited to the U.S.; other countries, especially those involved in trade with the U.S., also felt the impact. For example, countries like China, Canada, and Mexico faced rising input prices for their manufacturing sectors, which translated into broader economic slowdowns. Moreover, high tariffs led to a reduction in international trade volumes, slowing global economic growth. A decline in trade activity was particularly noticeable in nations heavily reliant on U.S. markets, such as China, Canada, and Mexico, which saw weaker growth prospects as a result of the tariff increases. As a consequence, global trade decelerated, disrupting economic relations across multiple regions. Another key objective behind Trump's tariff strategy was to reduce the U.S. trade deficit. However, the imposition of tariffs sparked retaliatory measures from affected countries, which resulted in counterproductive effects.

The financial markets were significantly impacted by the tariffs, especially with regard to currency fluctuations. As the U.S. imposed tariffs, the U.S. dollar strengthened by 1.5%

against both the Canadian dollar and the euro, reflecting market expectations of economic resilience. However, currencies from countries impacted by the tariffs, such as the Mexican peso and the Chinese yuan, depreciated sharply. This currency volatility was a response to the growing uncertainty and shifting economic dynamics resulting from the tariffs. Stock markets worldwide also reflected the global concerns triggered by the tariff wars. Stock indices experienced sharp declines as investors began to worry about the slowing global economic growth and the potential impact of tariffs on corporate profitability. However, the risk of rising inflation—fueled by tariffs—raised concerns that the Federal Reserve might increase interest rates, further slowing economic growth. The uncertainty surrounding the tariffs also influenced commodity and cryptocurrency markets. Industrial metals, such as aluminum and steel, saw heightened volatility due to the supply disruptions caused by tariffs. Similarly, commodities like oil experienced price fluctuations, while cryptocurrencies, including Bitcoin, showed early signs of risk as values fell in response to the growing instability in the global economy.

Southeast Asia, including Malaysia, was significantly affected by Trump’s trade policies, which led to both challenges and opportunities for the region. The disruption of global supply chains, particularly in industries like electronics and automotive manufacturing, forced many companies to reevaluate their production locations. Malaysia, as a key hub for semiconductor production, witnessed shifts as firms sought to avoid the tariff burdens by relocating operations to Southeast Asia. Trade diversion became a notable consequence as many multinational companies moved production from China to Southeast Asia. Malaysia benefited from this shift, with increased foreign direct investment (FDI) in key sectors such as electronics and automotive manufacturing. However, this also heightened competition within the region, as countries like Vietnam and Thailand sought to attract similar investments, intensifying the race for industrial projects and further challenging market dynamics. At the same time, the rise in production costs resulting from the tariffs placed additional pressure on Southeast Asian economies like Malaysia. As U.S. demand for goods surged due to tariffs on Chinese products, Southeast Asian nations faced the dual challenge of scaling up production while managing the competition in an increasingly crowded market. This led to growing tensions in the regional manufacturing landscape, where countries were vying for the same opportunities. While the relocation of manufacturing operations to Southeast Asia created opportunities for growth, it also introduced risks, particularly the rising costs and inefficiencies in production processes.

Trump’s "America First" policy, which prioritized the protection of U.S. industries, often positioned tariffs as a central tool to reduce dependence on foreign goods, especially from China. In the short term, this approach offered some benefits to the U.S. economy. The tariffs were intended to shield American manufacturers from cheap foreign imports, potentially revitalizing U.S. industrial sectors and creating jobs within the domestic economy. As trade between the U.S. and its partners slowed down, global economic growth was likely to suffer. Developing economies, including many in Southeast Asia, faced challenges in maintaining trade volumes as they were affected by the broader decline in international commerce. Countries heavily reliant on exports to the U.S., such as those in the Asia-Pacific region, saw their economies stall. The reconfiguration of global supply chains also presented challenges, as the restructuring efforts caused inefficiencies and higher production costs. This had the potential to push prices higher for consumers and reduce the overall competitiveness of firms in the affected regions. Moreover, the geopolitical repercussions of a prolonged trade war were significant. Countries may have started to realign their economic strategies, forming new trade alliances outside of the U.S.-China sphere. In particular, China sought to strengthen its position within global supply chains, reducing its dependence on U.S. markets and shifting its focus to new trade routes. This reorientation of economic relations would have long-lasting consequences for global trade dynamics.

Short Bio:

OK. Mohammad Fajar Ikhsan, B.A. (Hons), M.Sc., Ph.D., is currently an Assistant Professor and Subject Content Coordinator in the Department of International Relations at BINUS University, Jakarta. Dr. OK's areas of expertise include International Relations, International Political Economy, Critical Political Economy, Business and Politics, Foreign Economic Policy, Regional Economic Integration, and the Politics of International Trade and Business. His expertise has led him to engage in a wide range of research, consultancy projects, and international publications. For academic engagement, Dr. OKcan be reached via email at **ok.ikhsan@binus.edu**.

References:

Amiti, M., Redding, S. J., & Weinstein, D. E. (2019). *The Impact of the 2018 Tariffs on Prices and Welfare*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(4), 187-210. This article examines the effects of the 2018 tariffs on U.S. prices and consumer welfare, providing insights into the broader economic implications of protectionist trade policies.

Astro AWANI. (2025, February 9). [LANGSUNG] AWANI Global | Perang Tarif & Trump | 9 Feb 2025 [Video]. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k-R30ntot2E

Fajgelbaum, P. D., & Khandelwal, A. K. (2021). *The Economic Impacts of the US-China Trade War*. National Bureau of Economic Research. This working paper analyzes the economic effects of the trade war initiated in 2018, including tariffs imposed by the U.S. on Chinese imports and the subsequent retaliatory measures

Goodman, P. S. (2025, February 16). How Trump's One-for-One tariff plan threatens the global economy. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2025/02/16/business/trump-tariffs-global-economy.html

Ng, A. (2025, February 5). Trump's tariffs and their ripple effect on Singapore's economy. *CNA*. https://www.channelnewsasia.com/singapore/trump-tariffs-china-us-singapore-impact-4913021